

On the local metric dimension of *t*-fold wheel, $P_n \odot K_m$, and generalized fan

Rokhana Ayu Solekhah^a, Tri Atmojo Kusmayadi^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

rosasolekhah@gmail.com, tri.atmojo.kusmayadi@gmail.com

Abstract

Let G be a connected graph and let $u, v \in V(G)$. For an ordered set $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ of n distinct vertices in G, the representation of a vertex v of G with respect to W is the n-vector $r(v|W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), ..., d(v, w_n))$, where $d(v, w_i)$ is the distance between v and w_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The set W is a local metric set of G if $r(u \mid W) \neq r(v \mid W)$ for every pair u, v of adjacent vertices of G. The local metric set of G with minimum cardinality is called a local metric basis for G and its cardinality is called a local metric dimension, denoted by lmd(G). In this paper we determine the local metric dimension of a t-fold wheel graph, $P_n \odot K_m$ graph, and generalized fan graph.

Keywords: local metric dimension, *t*-fold wheel graph, corona graph, generalized fan graph Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C12 DOI: 10.19184/ijc.2018.2.2.4

1. Introduction

One of the discussions in graph theory is the local metric dimension of graph which is the development of the metric dimension of graph. In 2010 Okamoto et al. [6] introduces the concept of a local metric dimension of a graph. The journal discusses about dimension metric local of a graph. Suppose the set W is a subset of the vertex set in a graph G. The representation of one vertex in G respect to set W is a sequential pair whose element is the distance of a vertex to all vertex in the set W, where the distance on a graph is defined with the shortest path length of a

Received: 18 May 2018, Revised: 08 Jun 2018, Accepted: 13 Jul 2018.

vertex to the other vertex. The set W is called a *local metric set* for G (also called *local metric generator*) if every two adjacent vertices have distinct representations. A minimum *local metric set* is called a *local metric basis* for G and its cardinality is called the *local metric dimension* of G and denoted by lmd(G).

Some authors have investigated the local metric dimension of some graph classes. In 2014 Kristina et al. [3] determined the local metric dimension of the comb product between cycle graph and star graph. In the same year, Ningsih et al. [2] observed the local metric dimension of comb product of cycle graph and path graph. In 2016 Rodríguez-Velázquez et al. [5] observed the local metric dimension of the corona product. Then in 2017 Rimadhany [4] found the local metric dimension of t-fold wheel graph, $Pn \odot Km$ graph, and generalized fan graph.

2. Results

Local Metric Dimension

The definitions of local metric dimension were taken from Okamoto et al [6], the *t*-fold wheel graph defined by Walis [7], the corona product of two graphs defined by Yero et al. [8], and the generalized fan graph defined by Intaja and Sitthiwarattham [1].

Definition 2.1. Let G be a connected graph. If an ordered set $W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n\}$ of vertices in a connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, then the representation of v with respect to W is an ordered n-vector $r(v \mid W) = (d(v, w_1), d(v, w_2), d(v, w_3), \ldots, d(v, w_n))$, where $d(v, w_n)$ represents the distance between the vertices v and w_n . The set W is a local metric set of G if $r(u \mid W) \neq r(v \mid W)$ for every pair u, v of adjacent vertices of G. A minimum local metric set is called a local metric basis for G and its cardinality the local metric dimension of G and denoted by lmd(G).

We often use the following theorem given by Okamoto et al. [6]

Theorem 2.1. Let G be a nontrivial connected graph of order n. Then lmd(G) = n - 1 if and only if $G = K_n$ and lmd(G) = 1 if and only if G is bipartite.

The Local Metric Dimension of t-fold wheel graph

The t-fold wheel (W_n^t) graph is a graph that contains t central vertices which each adjacent to all vertices of a cycle C_n , but not adjacent to each other. The t-fold wheel (W_n^t) graph can be defined as a join of the cycle C_n and the complement K_t , so it can be written as the graph $W_n^t = C_n + \bar{K}_t$ for $n \ge 3$ and $t \ge 1$. Let (W_n^t) graph has a set of vertices $V(W_n^t) = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{t-1}, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ for $t \ge 1$ and $n \ge 3$ where u_i is central vertices. Figure 1 is example of t-fold wheel graph with t = 3 and n = 5.

Theorem 2.2. Let W_n^t be a t-fold wheel graph with $t \ge 1$ and $n \ge 3$, then

$$lmd(W_n^t) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{for } t \ge 1 \text{ and } n = 3; \\ 2, & \text{for } t \ge 1 \text{ and } n = 4; \\ \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil, & \text{for } t \ge 1 \text{ and } n \ge 5. \end{cases}$$



Figure 1. *t*-fold wheel graph with t = 3 and n = 5

Proof. Given a t-fold wheel graph W_n^t with $t \ge 1$ dan $n \ge 3$ with the set of vertices $V(W_n^t) = \{u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{t-1}, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$. We prove for the local metric dimension of the t-fold wheel graph based on the values of n and t.

Case 1. For $t \ge 1$ and n = 3.

The W_n^t graph with t = 1 and n = 3 is a graph where each vertex of W_3^t is in C_3 . If $W = \{x\}$ with $x \in W_3^t$, $t \ge 1$, then there are vertices $y, z \in W_3^t$ which are adjacent each other and have the same representation. So, r(y|W) = r(z|W) = 1 and hence $lmd(W_3^t) \ne 1$. If we choose $W = \{x_1, y_1\}$ with $x_1, y_1 \in W_3^t$ then there are vertices $x_2, y_2 \in V(W_3^t)$ which have the same representations and adjacent each other, so that $lmd(W_3^t) \ne 2$. For example take $W = \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$. The representations of each vertex with respect to W are

 $\begin{aligned} r(v_0|W) &= (0, 1, 1); & r(u_0|W) = (1, 1, 1); \\ r(v_1|W) &= (1, 0, 1); & \vdots & \vdots \\ r(v_2|W) &= (1, 1, 0); & r(u_j|W) = (1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$

All vertices v_i with $i = \{0, 1, 2\}$ of W_3^t have a different representation respect to the local metric set W and all vertices u_j with $j = \{0, 1, \dots, t - 1\}$ have the same representation respect to the local metric set W but not adjacent each other so, it can be concluded that W is the local metric set.

Hence, $lmd(W_n^t) = 3$ for $t \ge 1$ and n = 3.

Case 2. For
$$t \ge 1$$
 and $n = 4$.

Same with previous explanation in case for $t \ge 1$ and n = 3. The W_4^t graph is a graph where each vertex of W_4^t is in C_3 , so $lmd(W_4^t) \ne 1$. Suppose $W = \{v_0, v_1\}$, then there are two adjacent vertices have different representations with respect to W, so that $lmd(W_4^t) = 2$ for $t \ge 1$ and n = 4.

Case 3. For $t \ge 1$ dan $n \ge 5$

Let W_n^t be a *t*-fold wheel graph with $t \ge 1$ and $n \ge 5$. We will show $lmd(W_n^t) \le \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. Assume $W = \{v_{4i}\}$ where $i = \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor\}$, so, $|W| = \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. The representation of all vertices W_n^t with

respect to W are divided into two parts

$$r(v_i|W) = \begin{cases} r(v_i|W) = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1) & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1; \\ (0, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1) & i = 0, \text{ for } n = a; \\ ((i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 3, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & i = 0, 1, 2; \\ (2, (i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 3, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & i = 4, 5, 6; \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, (i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 3, 2), & i = (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 8), (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 7), (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 6); \\ (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 4), (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 3); \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, (i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 3), & i = \begin{cases} (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 4), (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 3); \\ (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 4), (4\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - 3); \\ (2, i \mod 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & i = 3; \\ (2, 2, i \mod 2, \dots, 2, 2), & i = 3; \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, i \mod 2), & i = 7; \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 0), & i = n - 1 \text{ for } n = a \\ (1, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & i = n - 1 \text{ for } n = c, d; \end{cases}$$

with a = 4k + 1, b = 4k + 2, c = 4k + 3, and d = 4k + 1.

2. For n = 6

Suppose $W = \{v_0, v_3\}$ for n = 6, the representation every vertices respect to W are

$$r(u_j|W) = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$$
 for $j = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$.

$$r(v_i|W) = \begin{cases} ((i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 2, 2) & \text{for } i = 0, 1; \\ (2, (i - \lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor) \mod 2) & \text{for } i = n - 3, n - 2; \\ (2, 1) & \text{for } i = 2; \\ (1, 2) & \text{for } i = n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Based on the two parts above, some vertices v_i with i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n-1 and all vertices u_j with j = 0, 1, 2, ..., t-1 have the same representation with respect to W but not adjacent each other, so W is the local metric set. Then $lmd(W_n^t) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$.

Next we show $lmd(W_n^t) \ge \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. Assume W is a local metric set of a t-fold wheel graph W_n^t with $|W| < \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. There are three possibilities to choose vertices of W.

- (a) If all vertices of W in $V(C_n) = \{v_i | 0 \le i \le n-1\} \subset V(W_n^t)$, then at least two vertices $x, y \in V(C_n)$ are adjacent such that r(x|W) = r(y|W) = (2, 2, ..., 2, 2).
- (b) If some vertices of W in V(C_n) = {v_i|0 ≤ i ≤ n − 1} ⊂ V(Wⁿ_t) and other vertices in V(K
 t) = {u_j|0 ≤ j ≤ t − 1}, then at least two vertices x, y ∈ V(C_n) are adjacent such that d(x, v_i) = d(y, v_i) = 2; ∀ v_i ∈ W,

$$d(x, u_i) = d(y, u_i) = 1; \quad \forall \ u_j \in W.$$

- (c) If all vertices of W in $V(\overline{K_t}) = \{u_j | 0 \le j \le t 1\} \subset V(W_t^n)$, then there are vertices $x_1, y_1 \in V(C_n)$ and $x_2, y_2 \in V(K_t)$ such that $r(x_1|W) = r(y_1|W) = (1, 1, ..., 1)$; x_1 and y_1 are adjacent,
 - $r(x_1|W) = r(y_1|W) = (1, 1, ..., 1)$, x_1 and y_1 are adjacent, $r(x_2|W) = r(y_2|W) = (2, 2, ..., 2)$; x_2 and y_2 are adjacent.

From all possibilities to choose vertex of W there are at least two adjacent vertices with the same representations, so W is not local metric set. This contradicts with the fact that W is a local metric set of (W_n^t) . Hence $lmd(W_n^t) \ge \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The Local Metric Dimension of $P_n \odot K_m$

The corona product $P_n \odot K_m$ graph is a graph obtained from P_n and K_m by taking one copy of P_n and n copies of K_m and joining by an edge each vertex from the i^{th} - copy of K_m with the i^{th} - vertex of P_n . Let $P_n \odot K_m$ be a graph have a set of vertices $V(P_n \odot K_m) =$ $\{u_1, \ldots, u_i, v_1^1, \ldots, v_j^1, v_1^2, \ldots, v_j^2, \ldots, v_1^n, \ldots, v_j^n\}$ and vertices $u_i \in V(P_n), v_j \in V(K_m)$ with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

Lemma 2.1. For $n, m \ge 2$, if W is a local metric set for a $P_n \odot K_m$, then $|W| \ge n(m-1)$.

Proof. By contradiction, we will show that $|W| \ge n(m-1)$. Assume that W is a local metric set with |W| < n(m-1). Let $W \subset V((P_n \odot K_m) - \{u_i, v_{m-1}^n, v_m^n\})$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n. There are two vertices v_{m-1}^n dan v_m^n such that $r(v_{m-1}^n|W) = r(v_m^n|W) = \{n+1, n, n-1, ..., 5, 4, 3, 1\}$ where vertex v_{m-1}^n dan v_m^n adjacent each other. This contradicts with the fact that W is a local metric set of $P_n \odot K_m$, so $|W| \ge n(m-1)$.

Lemma 2.2. For $n, m \ge 2$, if $W = \{v_j^i\} \subset V(P_n \odot K_m)$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 then W is a local metric set for a $P_n \odot K_m$ graph.

Proof. The representations of all vertices of $P_n \odot K_m$ with respect to $W = \{v_j^i\} \subset V(P_n \odot K_m)$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 are

www.ijc.or.id

and

Every pair of adjacent vertices have distinct representations with respect to W, so that W = $\{v_i^i\}$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 is a local metric set on $P_n \odot K_m$ graph.

Theorem 2.3. Let $P_n \odot K_m$ graph, then for $n \ge 1$ dan $m \ge 1$

$$lmd(P_n \odot K_m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n \ge 1 \text{ and } m = 1; \\ m, & \text{for } n = 1 \text{ and } m \ge 2; \\ n(m-1), & \text{for } n, m \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Given a $P_n \odot K_m$ graph with $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 1$ and $V(P_n \odot K_m) = \{u_i, v_i^i\}$ with $i = 1, 2, \ldots n$ and $j = 1, 2, \ldots m$. We prove the local metric dimension of the $P_n \odot K_m$ graph according to the values of n and m.

Case 1. For $n \ge 1$ and m = 1.

 $P_n \odot K_m$ graph with m = 1 ia a tree graph (bipartite graph), based on the theorem 2.1 the local metric dimension of a graph is equal to one if and only if the graph is bipartite. So, $lmd(P_n \odot K_m) = 1$ for m = 1.

Case 2. For n = 1 and $m \ge 2$

 $P_n \odot K_m$ with n = 1 and $m \ge 1$ is a complete graph with number of vertices is m + 1. Let $V(P_1 \odot K_m) = \{u_1, v_1^1, v_2^1, \dots, v_m^1\}$. Based on the theorem 2.1 the local metric dimension of the local metric graph is equal to p-1 if and only if the graph is complete with p order. So, it can be seen that $lmd(P_n \odot K_m) = (m+1) - 1 = m$ for n = 1.

Let $W = \{v_1^1, v_2^1, \dots, v_m^1\} \subset V(P_1 \odot K_m)$ then W is local metric set of the $P_1 \odot K_m$. The representations of all vertices $V(P_1 \odot K_m)$ with respect to W are $r(u_1|W) = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$

$$r(v_i|W) = \begin{cases} (0, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1), & \text{for } i = 1; \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 0, 1), & \text{for } i = m - 1; \\ (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0), & \text{for } i = m. \end{cases}$$

All vertices in $V(P_1 \odot K_m)$ have distinct representations with respect to W so that $W = \{v_1^1, v_2^1, \dots, v_m^1\}$ is a local metric set on $P_1 \odot K_m$.

Case 3. For $n \ge 2$ dan $m \ge 2$ Given a $P_n \odot K_m$ with $n \neq 1$ dan $m \neq 1$. By using Lemma 2.2, we have a set $W = \{v_i^i\} \subset$ $V(P_n \odot K_m)$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 is a local metric set of $P_n \odot K_m$ graph. According to Lemma 2.1, $|W| \ge n(m-1)$ so that $W = \{v_j^i\}$ with i = 1, 2, ..., n and j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 is a local metric basis of $P_n \odot K_m$ graph. Hence $lmd(P_n \odot K_m) = n(m-1)$.

The Local Metric Dimension of Generalized Fan Graph

Generalized fan graph $F_{m,n} \cong \overline{K}_m + P_n$ is a graph with $V(F_{m,n}) = V(\overline{K}_m) \cup V(P_n)$ and $E(F_{m,n}) = E(P_n) \cup \{uv | u \in V(\overline{K}_m), v \in V(P_n)\}$. Clearly $|V(F_{m,n})| = m + n$ and $|E(F_{m,n})| = mn + n - 1$. The generalized fan graph $F_{(m,n)}$ can be decipted as in Figure 2



Figure 2. Generalized fan graph $F_{(m,n)}$

Theorem 2.4. Let $F_{m,n}$ be a generalized fan graph with $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 2$ then

$$lmd(F_{(m,n)}) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{for } 2 \le n \le 5 & \text{and } m \text{ other}; \\ \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor, & \text{for } n \ge 6 & \text{and } m \text{ other}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Given a generalized fan graph $F_{(m,n)}$ with $m \ge 1$ dan $n \ge 2$ with the set of vertices $V(F_{(m,n)}) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$. We prove for the local metric dimension of the generalized fan graph according to the values of m and n.

Case 1. For $2 \le n \le 5$ and m other.

The $F_{(m,n)}$ graph with $2 \le n \le 5$ and $m \ge 1$ is a graph where each vertex in C_3 . If $W = \{x\}$ with $x \in F_{(m,n)}$ then there are vertices $y, z \in F_{(m,n)}$ which adjacent each other and have the same representation. So, r(y|W) = r(z|W) = 1 and hence $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) \ne 1$. If choose $W = \{u_1, u_k\}$ with k = 2 for $2 \le n \le 4$ and k = 3 for n = 5 then all vertices of $F_{(m,n)}$ with $2 \le n \le 5$ and $m \ge 1$ have different representation with respect to W, so $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) = 2$ for $2 \le n \le 5$ and m other.

Case 2. For $n \ge 6$ and m other. We will shown $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) \le \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$. Assume $W = \{u_3, u_7, u_{11}, u_{15}, \ldots, u_{n-2}\}$. Cardinality of W is $\lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$. Then the representation of all vertices $F_{(m,n)}$ with respect to W are

$$r(v_i|W) = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$$
 for $i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$.

$$r(u_j|W) = \begin{cases} (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = \begin{cases} (1, 5, 9, \dots n - 5, n); \text{ for } n = a \\ (1, 5, 9, \dots n - 6, n); \text{ for } n = b \\ (1, 5, 9, \dots n - 7, n); \text{ for } n = c \\ (1, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 2, 4 \\ (2, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 6, 8 \\ (2, 2, 1, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 10, 12 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 2), & j = \begin{cases} (n - 4); \text{ for } n = a \\ (n - 5); \text{ for } n = b \\ (n - 4, n - 6); \text{ for } n = c \\ (n - 5, n - 7); \text{ for } n = d \end{cases} \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 1), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = a \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 1), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = b \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1), & j = \begin{cases} (n - 1); \text{ for } n = a \text{ and } b \\ (n - 1, n - 3); \text{ for } n = c \text{ and } d \\ (n - 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 11 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 11 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 11 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = 11 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 2), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 0), & j = n - 3 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } n = d \\ (2, 2, 2, \dots, 1, 0), & j = n - 2 \text{ for } a \end{bmatrix}$$

with $a = \{6, 10, 14, 18, \ldots\}, b = \{7, 11, 15, 19, \ldots\},\$

 $c = \{8, 12, 16, 20, \ldots\}, \text{ and } d = \{9, 13, 17, 21, \ldots\}.$

All vertices v_i and some vertices u_j with i = 1, 2, ..., m and j = 1, 2, ..., n have the same representation with respect to W but not adjacent each other, so W is the local metric set. Then $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) \leq \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$.

Next we show $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) \ge \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$. Assume W is a local metric set of a generalized fan graph $F_{(m,n)}$ with $|W| < \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$. There are three possibilities to choose vertex of W

- 1. If all vertices of W in $V(\overline{K}_m) = \{v_i | 1 \le i \le m\} \subset V((F_{(m,n)}))$ then there are vertices $x, y \in V(\overline{K}_m)$ are adjacent such that r(x|W) = r(y|W) = (1, 1, ..., 1, 1)
- 2. If some vertices of W in $V(\overline{K}_m) = \{v_i | 1 \le i \le m\} \subset V(F_{(m,n)})$ and other vertices in $V(P_n) = \{u_j | 1 \le j \le n\}$, then there are vertices $x, y \in V(\overline{K}_m)$ are adjacent such that r(x|W) = r(y|W) = (2, 2, ..., 1, 1)
- 3. If all vertices of $V(P_n) = \{u_j | 1 \le i \le n\} \subset V((F_{(m,n)}))$, then there are vertices $x, y \in V((F_{(m,n)}))$

 $V(\overline{K}_m)$ are adjacent such that $r(x|W) = r(y|W) = (2, 2, \dots, 1, 1)$

From all possibilities to choose vertex of W there are at least two adjacent vertices with the same representations, so W is not local metric set. This contradicts with the fact that W is a local metric set of (W_n^t) . Hence $lmd(F_{(m,n)}) \geq \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$. These complete the proof of the theorem.

3. Conclusion

According to the discussion above it can be concluded that the local metric dimension of a t-fold wheel graph, $P_n \odot K_m$ graph, and a generalized fan graph are as stated in Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 2.4 respectively.

Problem 1. Determine the total metric dimension of $P_n \odot^k K_m$.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the support from Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta. Then, we wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions and references, which helped to improve the paper.

References

- [1] Intaja, S. and T. Sitthiwarttham, Some Graph Parameters of Fan Graph, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 80 (2012), no. 2, 217-223.
- [2] Ningsih, E. U. S., N. Estuningsih, dan L. Susilowati, Dimensi Metrik Lokal pada Graf Hasil Kali Comb dari Graf Siklus dan Graf Lintasan, Jurnal Matematika 1 (2014), 24-33.
- [3] Kristina, M., N. Estuningsih, and L. Susilowati, Dimensi Metrik Lokal pada Graf Hasil Kali Comb dari Graf Siklus dan Graf Bintang, Universitas Airlangga (2014), 1-9.
- [4] Rimadhany, R., Dimensi Metrik Lokal dari Graf Circulant, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh November (2017), 1-92.
- [5] Rodríguez-Velázquez, J. A., G. A. Barragán-Ramírez, and C. G. Gómez, On The Local Metric Dimension of Corona Product Graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 39 (2016), 157-173.
- [6] Okamoto, F., B. Phinezy, P. Zhang, The Local Metric Dimension of A Graph, Matematica Bohemica Vol 135(2010), 239-255.
- [7] Wallis, W. D., E. T. Baskoro, M. Miller, dan Slamin, *Edge Magic Total Labeling*, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 22 (2000), 1-14.
- [8] Yero, D. Kuziak, and J. A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, On the metric dimension of corona product graphs, Computers & Mathematics with Application Volume 61, (2011), 2793 – 2798.